

*R E M A R K S*

Entry of the preceding amendments, favorable reconsideration, and allowance of all of Applicants' claims are respectfully requested in view the preceding amendments and the following comments.

The amendments of claim 1 find clear and complete antecedent support in claim 9; in fact, Twice Amended Claim 1 is effectively claim 9 in independent form, but based solely upon original claim 1. All other amendments are essentially editorial in nature; no new matter has been added, and no new issue has been raised. The rejection of claims 1-19 "under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is respectfully traversed. Claims 1-7, 10-12, 17 and 18 are directed to compositions which are novel and useful. Their inclusion in this ground of rejection is not explained and is submitted to be unsupported.

The sole basis for the rejection relates to the "claimed method of stopping the loss of hair." Please note that all reference to "stopping the loss of hair" has been deleted from the method-of-use claims, thus overcoming this ground of rejection entirely. Please note also that there is no claim to preventing hair growth.

The rejection of claims 1, 2, 10 and 17 "under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being anticipated by Cameron et al. (US 6,426,359 B1)" is also respectfully traversed. As claim 9 was not included in this ground of rejection, and amended claim 1 is effectively claim 9 in independent form, this ground of rejection is overcome in the same manner as it was considered overcome by previously presented claim 9. As claims 2, 10 and 17 are dependent from amended Claim 1, they also overcome this ground of rejection in the same manner as previously discussed with regard to amended Claim 1.